



WQRF | Water Quality
Research Foundation

Environmental Impact Study: Effects of Water Softener on Septic Tank Performance

Mark Unger – WQA Technical Manager

Overview

- Background information
- WQRF study set up and results



WQRF | Water Quality
Research Foundation

Background Information

Softener and Septic Numbers

- 85% of US water is considered hard
- US EPA estimates
 - Softener installations at ~10 million
 - Septic systems in 26 million existing homes
 - Septic systems in 40% of new homes



What is a water softener?

- Cation exchange resin
- Removes hardness ions (Ca^{++} , Mg^{++} , etc)
- Also removes most metallic ions such as Iron, Lead, Barium, Radium, Mercury, etc
- Whole house installation, regenerates by demand or time


Time Clock vs DIR

- Time Clock – regenerates based on time
- DIR – regenerates based on demand
- Regeneration spans 1-2 hrs
- Regenerations occurs $<1 - 2$ times per week


Softener Performance



Regeneration Process



Salt Usage Per Year



History

- 1970's – unspecified septic failures noted and softeners being blamed
- Specified failures
 - Poor maintenance
 - Tree root infiltration
 - Unwanted objects in system
 - Hydraulic overloading
 - Driving or parking over system

Early Research

- Septic Tank/Water Softener “Potential Effects of Water Softener Use on Septic Tanks Soil Absorption On-Site Wastewater Systems”
– *University of Wisconsin-Madison*
- “The Effect of Home Water Softener Waste Regeneration Brines on Individual Aerobic Wastewater Treatment Plants”
– *NSF International*

Results from University of Wisconsin and NSF Studies

- Water softener waste stimulate biological action in anaerobic or aerobic systems
- The volume and flow rate of softener wastes do not cause deleterious problems in anaerobic or aerobic systems.
- Discharge does not interfere with percolation and might improve soil percolation, in fine textured soils.

Did this research resolve the issue?

- Contentions still remained that softener discharges cause septic failures
- Reported issue was lack of defined layers in septic tanks
- Regulators still questioned whether restriction of discharges to septic tanks was necessary

History

- ~2000 – state bans in CT, OR, and TX
- 2003 – TX rescinded/revised ban
- 2009 – WERF water softener workshop

Recent Studies

- Creekwood, NC Study*
 - Investigated salt and solids stratification
 - Showed lower salt levels with DIR softeners
 - Systems functioned well regardless of discharge
 - Did not show variations in stratification

*participants – WQA, CIDWT, NOWRA

Recent Studies

- Novak et. al, VA Tech findings in regard to Industrial Aerobic Activated Sludge systems:
 - An imbalance in the monovalent to divalent (M/D) cation ratio can lead to poor settling
 - This had not been tested in anaerobic systems.
 - Poor settling and lack of clear zones may be due to excessive sodium (M) in relation to calcium (D) and magnesium (D).

Estimated M/D Ratios

- Novak activated sludge research found that M/D ratio >3 could lead to poor settling
 - @ 4000 Grains/lb ~ 1.8 (DIR)
 - @ 3000 Grains/lb ~ 2.2 (DIR)
 - @ 2000 Grains/lb ~ 3.1
 - @ 1000 Grains/lb ~ 5.5 (Old TC)
 - @ 500 Grains/lb ~ 10 (Old TC)

Data Weaknesses

- The Creekwood study did not address
 - M/D cation ratios
 - Impact of M/D ratio on stratification
 - Effluent filter clogging
- Novak et. al research did not address residential anaerobic applications



Water
Quality[®]
ASSOCIATION

WQRF


Water Quality
Research Foundation

WQRF Septic Study Set up and results

Study Overview

- Researcher – Dr. Novak
- Funding – WQRF
- Steering Committee – WQA, NOWRA, NSF
- Question – How does softener discharge effect the M/D cation ratio and septic system performance?

Study Goals



Look at ability to separate layers and quality of effluent


Look at amount and capture of suspended solids

Illustration from www.genie.com

Study Design

- Develop column tests to simulate tanks
- Evaluate stratification and water quality
- Compare column studies to real world samples


Column Set up




Actual Column Set Up




March 28, 2012: BOD Evaluation



March 28, 2012: TSS Evaluation




June 27, 2012: BOD Evaluation



June 27, 2012: TSS Evaluation

CIDWT TSS < 60 mg/L



Column Study Conclusions


- DIR unit must be set at or above 2000 gr/lb
- Higher efficiencies may be required in areas with sodium or other monovalent ions above 200 ppm

Case Studies

- Samples for real world comparisons were collected in North Carolina and New York
- Batch anaerobic digestion studies
 - Sodium impact on degradation rates
 - Determine quality of the overlying water
- Evaluate chloride impact on nitrification
 - If insufficient information in literature

Case Study Design

- Field testing of redirection of discharge
 - The Aquasource Group Inc.
 - All water is softened, discharge to ST1 only




Effluent Filter Evaluations



Effluent filters shown
visually loaded

NY Results



Settling Evaluations



Comparison of solids settling in tanks in a NY site:

Tank receiving softener regen water on right

versus

a tank without on left

Case Study Conclusions

- Education in areas with vacation homes may be required (time clock)
- Diversion of discharge may decrease effluent quality

WQA Tools

- Executive summary and significant findings
- Regulatory toolkit
- M/D ratio calculator

Located in the members section at wqa.org

M/D Ratio Calculator

Influent Water Characteristics (Water Analyses Results)

- i. Sodium = in mg/liter
- ii. Potassium = in mg/liter
- iii. Total Water Hardness = gpg mg/liter

Wastewater Characteristics (Influent Water above plus Average Household Waste Values)

- iv. Sodium = mg/liter as CaCO₃
- v. Potassium = mg/liter as CaCO₃
- vi. Total Monovalent Cations = mg/liter as CaCO₃
- vii. Total Divalent Cations = mg/liter as CaCO₃

Water Softening Operational Salt Efficiency

- viii. Salt efficiency = grains of water hardness / pound of NaCl salt

M/D Cation Ratio (Calculated for Actual Operational Salt Efficiency)

A value of 5 or less minimizes potential septic system impacts

Calculator – 4000 gr/lb example

Influent Water Characteristics (Water Analyses Results)

i. Sodium =	17	in mg/liter	
ii. Potassium =	8	in mg/liter	
iii. Total Water Hardness =	20	gpg	342 mg/liter

Wastewater Characteristics (Influent Water above plus Average Household Waste Values)

iv. Sodium =	156.89	mg/liter as CaCO ₃
v. Potassium =	24.24	mg/liter as CaCO ₃
vi. Total Monovalent Cations =	181.13	mg/liter as CaCO ₃
vii. Total Divalent Cations =	398	mg/liter as CaCO ₃

Water Softening Operational Salt Efficiency

viii. Salt efficiency =	4000	grains of water hardness / pound of NaCl salt
-------------------------	------	---

M/D Cation Ratio (Calculated for Actual Operational Salt Efficiency)

1.744045226	A value of 5 or less minimizes potential septic system impacts
-------------	--

M/D ratio is less than 5

Calculator – 1000 gr/lb example

Influent Water Characteristics (Water Analyses Results)

i. Sodium =	17	in mg/liter	
ii. Potassium =	8	in mg/liter	
iii. Total Water Hardness =	20	gpg	342 mg/liter

Wastewater Characteristics (Influent Water above plus Average Household Waste Values)

iv. Sodium =	156.89	mg/liter as CaCO ₃
v. Potassium =	24.24	mg/liter as CaCO ₃
vi. Total Monovalent Cations =	181.13	mg/liter as CaCO ₃
vii. Total Divalent Cations =	398	mg/liter as CaCO ₃

Water Softening Operational Salt Efficiency

viii. Salt efficiency =	1000	grains of water hardness / pound of NaCl salt
-------------------------	------	---

M/D Cation Ratio (Calculated for Actual Operational Salt Efficiency)

5.610879397 A value of 5 or less minimizes potential septic system impacts

M/D ratio is greater than 5

Acknowledgments

Researchers

Dr. John Novak
Patrick Hogan
Greg Holbrook
Miguel Miranda

Support

WaterRight
Canandaigua Lake Watershed
Commission
George Barden
Blacksburg and Christiansburg
Wastewater Treatment Plants
Doug Grove
The Zoeller Company
Doug Goldsmith

Project Steering Committee

DJ Shannahan
Bob Boerner
Steve Richards
Gary Hatch
Frank Brigano
Mark Brotman
Regu Regunathan
Dick Otis
Eric Casey
Matt Byers
Allison Blodig
Jerry Stonebridge
Nancy Deal
Marcia Degen
Tom Bruursema



Water
Quality[®]
ASSOCIATION

WQRF

| Water Quality
Research Foundation

Thank you!

Questions?